KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION <u>THIRUVANANTHAPURAM</u>

Present: Shri. Preman Dinaraj, Charman Shri. S. Venugopal, Member Shri. K.Vikraman Nair, Member

Petition No. CP 01/2018

In the matter of	: Delay caused in installing a transformer in the APA Complex at Edakkad.
Petitioner	: Sri. Ayammed, Mumtaz Manzil, Edakkad. Represented by Sri. P.K. Purushothaman.
Respondents	 :1. The Assistant Executive Engineer, Electrical Sub Division, KSEB Ltd, Pinarayi, Kannur. 2. The Assistant Engineer, Electrical Section, KSEB Ltd. Dharmadam, Kannur.

Daily order dated 20.06.2018

- 1. Hearing was conducted at 2.30 pm on 12.06.2018 in the Court hall of the Commission.
- 2. The petitioner represented by Sri. P.K. Purushothaman narrated the background of the petition. According to him the work of installation of a transformer in the premises of the petitioner was entrusted to one Jayasenan, who is not a licensed contractor to do such works. The act of the respondents is against Reg.50 of CEA Regulations (Measures relating to Safety and Electrical supply) 2010. He further stated that though a site inspection was conducted by KSEB Ltd. on 20.07.2017, no defects were pointed out at that time and later certain defects were intimated on 05.08.2017,which is against Reg.78 of Electricity Supply Code,2014. He also stated that though an amount of Rs. 4,70,408/ was remitted on 09.02.2017, the transformed was energized after 7 months. There was inordinate delay on the part of the respondents in providing electric connection to the petitioner. So he prayed that action be taken against the respondents as per the section 142 of

Electricity Act, 2003. He has produced certain documents to substantiate his claim.

- 3. Smt. T.K.Latha, Assistant Executive Engineer, Electrical Sub Division, KSEB Ltd. Pinarayi and Smt. Smruthi.M, Assistant Engineer, Electrical Section, KSEB Ltd. Dharmadam, respondents 1 & 2 respectively were present. The AEE mentioned that even though she is a new incumbent in the post and the incident now before the Commission took place during her predecessor's time and on detailed enquiry contented that there was no delay on their part in energizing the transformer installed in the premises of the petitioner. According to the respondents whatever delay caused is due to the in action on the part of the petitioner in curing the defects pointed out during inspection of the petitioner's premises. Certain documents were also produced by them to that effect.
- 4. Sri. Radhakrishnan.B Electrical Inspector, Kannur, who was summoned by the Commission as a witness was also present. He stated that there was no delay from the part of Electrical Inspectorate. He pointed out that the clearance was given in June 2017, but subsequently when his office was intimated by the office of the AEE, KSEBL regarding the non receipt of the report a copy was sent the KSEBL again in August and proof of dispatch are available in the documents presented. He stated that delay in giving sanction for energization of the transformer in the premises of the petitioner was caused due to some communication gap between his office and the Electrical Section, Dharmadam. He has produced copies of Inward and Depatch Registers of his office, as required by the Commission.
- 5. Hearing concluded. Reserved for orders

Sd/	Sd/	Sd/
K.Vikraman Nair	S. Venugopal	Preman Dinaraj.
Member	Member	Chairman

Approved for issue

Santhosh Kumar. K.B Secretary